#### **Risk Assessment Model**

The risk assessment model was approved by Corporate Governance Panel in March 2005.

The model requires potential risks to be evaluated against a set of pre-determined criteria for likelihood/frequency and impact. Individual risk levels can then be determined by plotting the risks onto a risk matrix.

### Likelihood / Frequency

		Alternatively this could be expressed as likely to happen within the next:	When considering Health & Safety related risks, the likelihood should be expressed as being likely to happen within the next:
5 =	Highly Likely	Month	Week
4 =	Likely	Year	6 months
3 =	Occasional	3 years	Year
2 =	Unlikely	10 years	5 years
1 =	Improbable	20 years	10 years

### **Impact**

Risks will be evaluated against the following scale. If a risk meets conditions for more than one category, a judgement will need to be made as to which level is the most appropriate. For example, if a particular health and safety risk was significant, could result in minor short-term adverse publicity in the local media but had only a trivial financial impact, it might still be categorised as significant.

### **1 = trivial** event or loss, which is likely to:

- cause minor disruption to service delivery on one or two consecutive days, not noticeable to customers
- affect the financial performance / outturn of one service in the current financial year by £10,000 or less
- be managed with no reporting in the local media
- \* a Health & Safety concern with no or very minor / insignificant injury
- cause localised (one or two streets) environmental or social impact

#### 2 = minor event or loss, which is likely to:

- cause minor, noticeable disruption to service delivery on one or two consecutive days
- affect the financial performance / outturn of one service in the current financial year by more than £10,000 but less than £50,000.
- result in minor short-term (up to a fortnight) adverse publicity in the local media
- \* a Health & Safety concern that results in a minor injury, but with no sickness absence
- have a short term effect on the environment i.e. noise, fumes, odour, dust emissions etc., but with no lasting detrimental impact

### **3 = significant** event or loss, which is likely to:

- cause disruption for between one and four weeks to the delivery of a specific service which can be managed under normal circumstances
- affect service delivery in the longer term

- affect financial performance / outturn in the current financial year or future financial years by £50,000 or more but less than £100,000.
- result in significant adverse publicity in the national or local media
- \* a Health & Safety concern that results in moderate injury and lost time, and/or requires the H&S Executive to be notified
- has a short term local effect on the environment, or a social impact, that requires remedial action.

### **4 = major** event or loss, which is likely to:

- have an immediate impact on the majority of services provided or a specific service within one area, so that it requires Director involvement.
- affect financial performance / outturn in the current financial year or future financial year by £100,000 or more but less than £250,000.
- raise concerns about the corporate governance of the authority and / or the achievement of the Corporate Plan
- cause sustained adverse publicity in the national media
- significantly affect the local reputation of the Council both in the long and short term
- \* a Health & Safety concern that results in a major injury and lost time, and/or requires the H&S Executive to be notified and operations stopped
- have a long term detrimental environmental or social impact e.g. chronic and / or significant discharge of pollutant

### **5 = critical** event or loss, which is likely to:

- have an immediate impact on the Council's established routines and its ability to provide any services, and cause a total shutdown of operations.
- affect financial performance / outturn in the current financial year or future financial years by £250,000 or more and / or on future Council Tax levels
- have an adverse impact on the national reputation of the Council both in the long and short term
- \* results in a the fatality of an employee or customer or major loss of life, requiring operations to be stopped and immediate action to remedy a major Health & Safety concern
- have a detrimental impact on the environment and the community in the long term e.g. catastrophic and / or extensive discharge of persistent hazardous pollutant

	Highly Likely	5					
Likelihood / Frequency	Likely	4					
d / Fre	Occasional	3					
elihoo	Unlikely	2					
Lik	Improbable	1					
			1	2	3	4	5
			Trivial	Minor	Significant	Major	Critical
					Impact		

Risk Treatme	ent – Actio	on Plan							
Description of risk from register:  Risk ID No:	203/03	Current residual ri		core	: 4	4	16		
	Service recovery and/or business continuity plans are inadequate resulting, over both the short and medium term, in the Council's inability to provide an appropriate service								
Controls already in place as listed on the risk register:									
Corporate Service Recovery Plan  IT & telecoms recovery agreement in place Data backed up and stored off site Air conditioning  UPS allows 10 minutes closing down time									
Are these controls operating effectively?	Yes								
Risk Action Plan (All act	ions liste	d in priority order)	)						
			New residual risk score <sup>1</sup>			Extra resources required <sup>2</sup>			
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing resources						16	equirea		
a. Work already underway with consultants to prioritise services to be recovered.									
b. Testing of recovery plans.									
<ul> <li>c. 2 server rooms in new accommodation at HQ &amp; Ea already approved.</li> </ul>	astfield Ho	use. MTP bid	3	3	9				
<ul> <li>d. 2 telecoms switches in new accommodation at HC bid already approved.</li> </ul>	& Eastfie	ld House. MTP	3	3	9				
Actions requiring additional resources									
De	cision								
Agreed Option: Implementation Date Risk Owner							er		
			Не	ead	of Ad	mini	stration		
Decision taken by:	on:								

New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
 Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time

Risk Treatme	ent – Actio	on Plan					
Description of risk from register:  Risk ID No:	Current residual ris Likelihood x Impac		core	3	5	15	
A civil emergency occurs that affects more than a provision and support proving inadequate.	2000 peop	ole leading to the C	our	ncil's	s rest	cen	tre
Controls already in place as listed on the risk register:  Staff Training  Venues identified and agreed: St Ivo Leisure Centre able to deal with a maximum of 2290 people.  Out of hours contact s maintained and up to date Liaison with voluntary organisations, local PCTs, Social services, etc  Pet Plan – arrangements with shelters and vets etc  Food plan (exercises carried out (desk top) but not formate tested)  An annual agreement with the WRVS voluntary set to provide additional trained staff and support in the event of an emergency – funding identified for 07/08.							
Are these controls operating effectively?	Yes						
Risk Action Plan (All ac	tions liste	d in priority order)	)				
				New idual score	risk		Extra esources equired <sup>4</sup>
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing resources						16	equirea
a. Short term holding areas identified (awaiting confid	mation fro	m venues)	3	4	12		
<ul> <li>b. Increase capacity to 5000 by identifying and obtain additional venues.</li> </ul>	ning agree	ment to use	3	4	12		
c. Put in place an annual agreement (£1500/year) w service to provide additional trained staff and supplemergency.			3	4	12		
Actions requiring additional resources							
De	cision						
Agreed Option: Implementation Date Risk Owner						er	
				He	ad of Serv		
Decision taken by:	on:						

New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
 Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time

Risk Treatment – Action Plan											
	Risk Current residual risk score:										
Description of risk from register:	) No:	New	Likelihood x Impac		COIC	2	5	10			
A member of the public is seriously injured or killed whilst visiting or using Leisure Centre facilities due to the Council not providing a safe environment, or its staff with sufficient and adequate training.											
Controls already in place as listed on the risk re	egister:										
Trained, qualified and experienced fitness staff / lifeguards Bather capacities not exceeded Appropriate number of lifeguards on duty Regular breaks for lifeguards to maintain alertness Assessment of customer abilities at joining Customers required to undergo induction Appropriate number of staff on duty Monitoring by staff of usage by customers Trained first aiders on site Defibrillators on site and staff trained in their use Health and Safety Co-ordinator monitors actions taken  Customers assessed for skin type (sunbeds) and undergo induction Sunbed equipment to British Standards Use of sunbed limited by length of session and num of sessions Warning signs displayed Regular monitoring of tanning equipment Risk is physical injury to customers or at worst deat by heart failure caused by overexertion Risk is death by drowning of pool users Risk is over exposure by customers causing sunbu or melanomas							d number death				
Are these controls operating effective	vely?	Yes									
Risk Action Plan (A	All acti	ons liste	d in priority order)	)							
Dranged actions to reduce rick using existing r	rocour	200		New residual risk score 5		risk	k Extra resource required				
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing r	resourc	es		_							
a. No further mitigation is practical											
Actions requiring additional resources											
	Dec	cision									
Agreed Option:		Impler	mentation Date			Risk (	Owne	er			
				Не	ead	of Ad	mini	stration			
Decision taken by:		on:									

New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
 Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time

Risk Treatment – Action Plan									
Description of risk from register:  Risk ID No:	241	Current residual ris Likelihood x Impac		core	2	5	10		
Information or data is lost leading to an inability to provide an appropriate service or respond to requests for information.									
Controls already in place as listed on the risk register:  All software is removed and hard disks wiped clean by IMD before disposal Documents held in metal filing cabinets in most cases  All incendiary sources away from cabinets, only destruction of building should cause such loss Many records held electronically and servers not held on the same floors Staff are encouraged to challenge strangers in secure areas All confidential documents stored in a semi-secure environment Staff aware of arrangements for disposal of confidential waste - including use of shredding equipment Office access controls Non - active case files routinely stored The Council operates a no smoking policy in it's buildings Staff training, policies and management processes regarding the need for confidentiality									
Corporate Policy for Records Management & Docum  Are these controls operating effectively?									
Risk Action Plan (All act	ions liste	d in priority order)							
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing resour	ces		New residual risk score <sup>7</sup>				Extra sources equired <sup>8</sup>		
<ul> <li>a. Production &amp; implementation of Information Assultation</li> <li>Survey of users to baseline scope of the risk</li> <li>Raise staff awareness through further training</li> <li>Investigation into encryption of information</li> <li>Appropriate disposal of electronic &amp; paper-basel</li> <li>b. Production &amp; implementation of the Flexible Worusage of mobile devices including USB sticks. NE Flexible Working MTP bid and subsequent funding Flexible Working Strategy.</li> </ul>	rance Pol sed inform king Strat 3 Assumes	nation n <b>egy</b> eg defines funding of	1	5	5				
Actions requiring additional resources									
De	cision								
Agreed Option:	Impler	mentation Date			Risk (	Own	er		
					Head	of IN	1D		
Decision taken by:	on:								

New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
 Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time

Risk Treatment – Action Plan									
Description of risk from register:	Risk ID No:	277/8	Current residual r Likelihood x Impa		core	: 2	5	10	
Users of the Leisure Centres are put at risk due to the employment of staff work with vulnerable people.						ınsui	able	to	
Controls already in place as listed on the risk register:  1 member of recruitment panel trained in 'safeguarding' issues.  CRB checks undertaken on all staff References undertaken Guidance issued to staff. Staff shadowing in place until CRB checks returned  Each Leisure Centre Manager is a Designated Chi Protection Officer HDC Policy and Procedures on Safeguarding Child Young People and Vulnerable Adults in place. Structure in place to implement action plan arising from Policy.							Children,		
Are these controls operating effe	ctively?	Yes							
Risk Action Plan	n (All act	ions liste	d in priority order	)					
				New residual rish score <sup>9</sup>				Extra sources	
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing	ng resour	ces		L	I		re	quired <sup>10</sup>	
a. "Safeguarding" training is to be provided to Officer's and other relevant staff in the Lei requirements of the Children's Act 2004.				2	5	10			
Actions requiring additional resources									
	De	cision			l				
Agreed Option:		Implementation Date Risk Owner					er		
				Н	ead	of Ad	mini	stration	
Decision taken by:		on:							

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
<sup>10</sup> Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time

Risk Treatme	ent – Acti	on Plan							
Description of risk from register:  Risk ID No:	149/10	Current residual ris		core	2	5	10		
The Council is not accurate enough in its assessment of the Government's Council Tax/budget requirement capping level resulting in the Council being capped or setting its Council Tax at a figure significantly below the capping level resulting in the need for additional temporary or permanent service reductions.									
Controls already in place as listed on the risk register:  When the Council makes its decision on the Council Tax and Budget Requirement Level each February it is provided with all the relevant information that is available including Government comments on capping.									
Are these controls operating effectively? Yes									
Risk Action Plan (All ac	tions liste	d in priority order)	)						
					risk 11	res	Extra sources juired <sup>12</sup>		
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing resources						rec	lulieu		
a. <b>No further mitigation is practical</b> because the G announce the capping criteria in advance, as a matter decision is based on the actions of all the local authorities in England. Thus, it is impossible to have If too high an increase is agreed, there are additional damage to the Council's reputation but the ongoing leminimised. If too low a figure is chosen, more service necessary will have to be made.	er of principorities, poli- any real do rebilling co evel of ser	ole, and their final ce and fire egree of certainty. costs and potential vice reductions is							
b.									
Actions requiring additional resources									
De	cision								
Agreed Option:	Imple	mentation Date			Risk (	Owne	r		
				Hea	ad of I Serv		ncial		
Decision taken by:	on:								

New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
 Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time

Risk Treatment – Action Plan							
Description of risk from register:  Risk ID No:	116	Current residual ri		core	: 2	5 10	
ICT Security is breached causing both the loss of data and a loss of trust in the reliability of the data being held.							
Controls already in place as listed on the risk register:  Back up tapes Access controls Fire / security protection Security marking of assets CCTV unit Caretaker protocols Are these controls operating effectively?  Booking procedures for use of laptops away from the office Locks on notebook computers Equipment postcoded Email filtering greatly reducing viruses, trojan software & spam.  Yes (as far as known)							
Risk Action Plan (All act	ions nste	a in priority order)		Nev	v		
			res	idua score	l risk	Extra resources	
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing resour	ces		L	I		required <sup>14</sup>	
Incorporation of gas based fire suppression within the new ICT racking & cabinets to be installed at Eastfield House. This will suppress fires originating within those cabinets and is a safer and cheaper option than incorporating a complete room flooding system. However, there are some external to cabinet elements – specifically the UPS batteries – which would not be protected.			2	4	8		
<ul> <li>A number of further mitigating actions are being <i>investigated</i>:         <ul> <li>Rationalise population of underused laptops (part of ICT for New Accommodation project) to ensure they are kept up-to-date with security updates</li> <li>Encryption of data held on PCs (requirement also of Flexible Working project)</li> <li>Splitting the server population between 2 physical sites (Operations Centre &amp; new HQ)</li> <li>Use of "data-less" PCs (holding information on central servers &amp; serving the screen changes to the PCs) – Cyrix investigation (trial sponsored as part of Flexible Working project)</li> </ul> </li> <li>Following investigations, the implementation of the resulting actions (some of</li> </ul>							
which will require further funding) may further reduce the risk. The position will reassessed when the inves							
Actions requiring additional resources							
None at this stage							
De	cision						
Agreed Option:	Imple	mentation Date			Risk	Owner	
				I	Head	of IMD	
Decision taken by:	on:						

New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
 Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time

Risk Treatment – Action Plan									
Description of risk from register: Risk ID No	. 166/5	Current residual ri Likelihood x Impad		core	2	5	10		
A member of the public or an employee of the Council is seriously injured or killed due to the Council not providing its employees with either a safe working environment or sufficient and adequate training with regard to the tasks that it requires to be carried out.									
Controls already in place as listed on the risk register:  All staff who drive on Council business self-certify that they have a valid driving licence, appropriate insurance and that their vehicle has an MOT (if required). Driving licence check, initial and annual. Driver training.  Quarterly review of all Health and Safety risk assessments and incidents - related action plans are implemented and recorded  Are these controls operating effectively?  Health and Safety issues are discussed annually Activity Managers are all trained in Health and Safety Management  Health and Safety inspections  Health and Safety inspections									
Risk Action Plan (All actions listed in priority order)									
Proposed actions to reduce risk using existing reso	nurcos		New residual risk score <sup>15</sup>			Extra resources required <sup>16</sup>			
a. No further mitigation is practical	ources								
3									
Actions requiring additional resources									
	Decision								
Agreed Option:	Agreed Option: Implementation Date Risk Owner								
				Hea	d of F	Pers	onnel		
Decision taken by:	on:								

New Residual Risk Score: after the action has been introduced
 Extra Resources: only complete if extra resources will be required to allow the proposed action to be introduced e.g. financial costs and staff time